

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/598,788	Applicant(s) MICHALK, MANFRED
Examiner SEUNG H. LEE		Art Unit 2887	

All Participants:

(1) SEUNG H. LEE.

(2) Mr. M. Ellsworth (REG. NO. 56345).

Date of Interview: 9 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Examiner's Amdt

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Ellsworth agreed to amend claims 1 and 13 for fixing minor informality and replacing the abstract.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Seung H Lee/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)